

Middleware development: fabric management

GridPP 8th Collaboration Meeting
23 September 2003

Alexander Holt
School of Informatics
University of Edinburgh
`lex@fixedpoint.org`

Overview

- ▶ EDG 2.0: LCFGng
- ▶ EDG 2.1: no major changes planned
- ▶ The new EDG/CERN tools: quattor
 - ▷ configuration
 - ▷ package management
 - ▷ installation
- ▶ UK plans for 2004: novel configuration mechanisms
- ▶ GridPP-2/EGEE: what configuration system?

EDG 2.0: LCFGng

- ▶ RH 7.3
- ▶ Server install recipe (Steve Traylen) on GridPP web site
- ▶ PXE and floppy installs
- ▶ Component changes: `fstab`, Perl components, ...
- ▶ Lots of small improvements

EDG 2.1: no major changes planned

The new EDG/CERN tools: quattor

Retain basic LCFG architecture for configuration, but:

- ▶ New language, new components
- ▶ Less 'intrusive' package management, Solaris PKG as well as RPM
- ▶ Vendor-specific install tools

EDG production use not planned within project lifespan, but already partly in production at CERN, and pilot deployment intended for LCG-1.

<http://cern.ch/quattor/>

quattor: configuration

- ▶ New high level language: Pan. More expressive: named templates, types, validation expressions, powerful built-in functions
- ▶ Pan files held in database (CDB): akin to API wrapper round CVS repository: graphical tool available (Panguin)
- ▶ XML profiles much the same, but more deeply nested
- ▶ XML profiles \Rightarrow SQL database convertor
- ▶ Client-side cache & notification machinery reimplemented
- ▶ Components: Perl, new library (NVA-API), single `Configure()` method

LCFG and Pan example, part 1

LCFG (disk.h):

```
disk.deftype      ext2
disk.parts        hda1 hda2
disk.size_hda1    5000
disk.type_hda1    <%disk.deftype%>
disk.mount_hda1   /
disk.size_hda2    512
disk.type_hda2    swap
```

Pan (disk.tpl):

```
template disk;
"/disk/deftype"      = "ext2";
"/disk/parts/hda1" = nlist(
    "size",    5000,
    "type",    value("/disk/deftype"),
    "mount",   "/");
"/disk/parts/hda2" = nlist(
    "size", 512,
    "type", "swap");
```

LCFG and Pan example, part 2

LCFG (mysys):

```
#include "disk.h"
!disk.parts      mADD(hda3)
!disk.size_hda1  mSET(7000)
disk.size_hda3   3000
disk.type_hda3   <%disk.deftype%>
disk.mount_hda3  /opt
```

Pan (mysys.tpl):

```
object template mysys;
include disk;
"/disk/parts/hda1/size" = 7000;
"/disk/parts/hda3" = nlist(
    "size", 3000,
    "type", value("/disk/deftype"),
    "mount", "/opt");
```

quattor: package management

- ▶ Support for RPM and Solaris PKG
- ▶ Common management agent on client that feeds packages to `rpmt` or `pkg` as appropriate (replacement for `updaterpms`)
- ▶ Distribution by HTTP, FTP, NFS/AFS
- ▶ Local per-node caches, as well as standard HTTP caching
- ▶ Can manage subset of all packages (add-on installations, desktops)

quattor: installation

- ▶ Unlike LCFG, not tightly integrated with config system
- ▶ Relies on vendor install tools: Kickstart & Jumpstart (translators from configuration DB)
- ▶ New programs for management of boot servers (PXE, DHCP)

Edinburgh plans for 2004: novel configuration mechanisms

Experiment with:

- ▶ ‘Autonomous’ configuration: harnessing peer-to-peer protocols like SLP (<http://www.srvloc.org/>) and group membership/election schemes within prescribed policy
- ▶ More abstract specifications: ‘I want a 3-tier web/script/database service with these parameters ...’
- ▶ Delegated management: different aspects of a local site managed independently and combined intelligently through, e.g., constraint satisfaction (cf. merging MON and UI source files in LCFGng)

GridPP-2/EGEE: what configuration system?

- ▶ EDG LCFGng trails Edinburgh developments. Future support path unclear
- ▶ LCFG: both a production system (1000 machines at Edinburgh) and a vehicle for research & experimentation. Slowly spreading at Edinburgh beyond Informatics; also some US interest. No explicit external support resources, but interested in helping users of current version
- ▶ quattor: production requirements dominate; driven by immediate needs at CERN and other EDG sites. Under active development. CERN have made strong commitment \Rightarrow support (& training)
- ▶ Other tools. Investment would be required to equal current levels of LCFG-based support for testbed sites.